Weight Scaling by "Previous Load Expansion"

Kim Iles

The short story ...

Need a volume/weight ratio ?

Use the one from the truck you just measured.

Why?

- You get an <u>immediate</u> answer
- It's <u>simple</u>
- It's probably more <u>accurate</u>
- It's easy to change your <u>frequency</u> of measuring sample loads.

 People have always used a running average to "smooth out" the estimate of volume per ton for weight scaling

Why ?? I don't know

• What you want is the best match.

There is simply no way to use a "running average" to get an unbiased answer to assign to trucks <u>as you go along</u>.

Sorry – no way.

ALSO ... A "Running average" was meant to average in <u>both</u> <u>directions</u>.

The greater the "period", the worse the "lag" if you used it right away.





You would <u>like</u> your estimate to be close for <u>each</u> truck you are <u>not</u> measuring.



With a "running average", the estimate is "shifted".



You have to <u>wait</u> until you get a future answer to apply it to your truck weight by "shifting it back"



To get unbiased answers, some people "correct" their initial ratios at the end of some accounting period.

This gives correct averages, but long <u>after</u> the fact (and you might have to ask for money back).

How good a job <u>did you do</u> with estimating <u>un</u>sampled loads ?

That is what statistics are supposed to tell you.



This means that the usual "sampling error" for weight scaling is too large

3% vs. 1%, for instance.

Here is the "difference" when the ratio you assign is from the previous truck measured.



If you have alternating <u>sources</u>, ratios can alternate too



Often, the last load is similar to the current one – so you why not use the previous ratio.



If you adjust at year-end

You can do anything you want assigning loads <u>during</u> the year.

Repeat ...

Anything you want

BUT

Why not concentrate on getting a good answer <u>as you go along</u> during the year.

The <u>last measured ratio follows</u> any emerging trend as quickly as it develops.

Why not use it ?

To Repeat

- You get an immediate answer
- It's <u>simple</u>
- It may be more <u>accurate</u>
- It's easy to <u>change your frequency</u> of measuring sample loads.

Last Comment :

A point on averaging when you choose trucks with <u>different</u> frequencies during some period. When averaging at the end of a measurement period, you weight each measured truck by the rate of sampling used for each selection – the rates do not need to be equal during the period (just recorded).

Previous load assignment.



Thanks for your patience

Questions ?